UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,621	12/22/2003	Jens Bjerre Knudsen	6494.210-US	7397
23650 NOVO NORDI	7590 06/23/200 SK, INC.	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST			MOLINA, ANITA C	
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nnipatent@novonordisk.com KSHL@novonordisk.com KISW@novonordisk.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/743,621	KNUDSEN ET AL.				
Zammer maated meer view Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANITA MOLINA	3626				
All Participants:	Status of Application: 41/Non-Final Action Mailed					
(1) <u>ANITA MOLINA</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Marc A. Began, Reg. No. 48,829</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>10:45 am</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 (b) rejection should be changed to a 102(e) rejection						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In the Office Action mailed on 05/15/2008, Examiner inadvertantly rejectected claims 1-4, 6-10, and 40-46 under 102(b) instead of the appropriate 102(e). The attorney will respond to the 102(e) rejection in the next correspondence.						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				